

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,981	/537,981 11/18/2005		Daisuke Higashihara	19036/41173 8722		
4743	7590	08/25/2006		EXAMINER		
	•	TEIN & BORUN E, SUITE 6300	PENDLETO	PENDLETON, BRIAN T		
SEARS TOV		2,00112 0000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		2615			

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/537,981	HIGASHIHARA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brian T. Pendleton	2615	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 July</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examinet The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2005</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	\boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen		_		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagatani, US Patent Application Publication 2005/0216211 in view of Belt et al, US Patent 6,774,934. Nagatani discloses an impulse response collecting method and apparatus for a multi-channel audio system comprising time stretched pulse signal generator 90, loudspeaker 102, and microphones 103R, 103L. The generator 90 outputs a time stretched pulse which is broadcast by loudspeaker 102. The signal output from loudspeaker 102 is received by impulse response collecting unit 97 which calculates a cross-collection function (using impulse response converting portion 95) of the TSP and the synchronously added microphone collected signals from synchronous adder 94. A resultant impulse response of the sound space is generated. Nagatani does not disclose that the propagation time between the loudspeaker and microphone is found based on the cross-correlation function. However, it was well known in the art, as evidenced by Belt et al, to use impulse response calculations to determine the delay. Belt et al teach a system comprising microphones 1, 2, impulse response calculators 5, 6, cross-correlation function 7, and delay determiner 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to determine delay based on the impulse response which is ultimately based on cross-correlating TSP signals in the Nagatani apparatus for the purpose of improving

Application/Control Number: 10/537,981

Art Unit: 2615

signal processing realism in the multi-channel audio apparatus. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected. As to claims 2 and 5, Belt et al teach detecting when the cross-correlation function has a maximum value. Regarding claims 3 and 6-7, Nagatani discloses synchronizing and adding the time stretched pulse signals in element 94, therefore it would have been obvious to add the cross-correlation functions.

Page 3

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

btp

Brian T. Pendleton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2615

20.2